Microsimulation Approaches to studying Shocks and Social Protection in Selected Developing IMA, Vienna, 2024

Kwabena Adu-Ababio¹

¹University of Helsinki

January 10, 2024

Background and Motivation

Background

Background and Motivation

Background

- Interest in consequences of macro shocks due to recent crises.
- Social safety nets crucial in helping households cope with shocks.
- Greater response margin regarding how developed economies tackle crisis.
- How good is social insurance in developing countries?
- There is the need for stress testing (Kanbur, 2010)

Study Objectives

Objectives

Study Objectives

Objectives

- Examine using microsimulation techniques policies that cushion households from systemic shocks.
- Measurement of households risk exposure in crisis scenarios based on GHAMOD, SAMOD and ECUAMOD Desc MODs .
- Given redistributive preferences of policy that existed in 2017, I look at two broad themes-:

Study Objectives

Objectives

- Examine using microsimulation techniques policies that cushion households from systemic shocks.
- Measurement of households risk exposure in crisis scenarios based on GHAMOD, SAMOD and ECUAMOD Desc MODs .
- Given redistributive preferences of policy that existed in 2017, I look at two broad themes-:
 - Automatic Stabilization/ Fixed government action and
 - 2 Discretionary government action based on four case scenarios.
- Examine social protection that incorporates comprise both social protection and insurance policies.

Outline

Introduction

- 2 Relevance of the Study
- 3 Summary of Results
- Previous Research
- 5 Methodologies
- 6 Results
- Discussion of Results
- 8 Way Forward

Relevance of the Study

Contribution to Literature

Relevance of the Study

Contribution to Literature

- First of its kind to study automatic stabilization for developing countries based on income, demand and informality shocks.
- One of the first aside Doorley (2021) to examine poverty and inequality cushioning using poverty stabilization coefficients.
- Establishing of the link between automatic stabilization and consumption expenditure.
- Study how fiscal policies can be reformed to offer more significant income insurance.
- Add to studies that inform on the effects of economic shocks in transitioning economies.

Results summary

Summary Outcomes

Results summary

Summary Outcomes

- Higher coefficients means stronger stabilization effects. Thus, a % of the shock is absorbed by the fiscal system.
- Automatic Stabilization very limited in Ghana.
- The level of informality in each country plays a role.
- Strong income and demand stabilization as well as fiscal policy impacts in South Africa and Ecuador as compared to Ghana.
- Counterfactual policies (CDG and LEAP expansion) in Ghana, improve welfare and policy impacts.

Macro & Micro Approaches to automatic stabilizers Previous Research

Macro & Micro Approaches to automatic stabilizers Previous Research

- Most macro approaches to study automatic stabilization study ratios of revenue and expenditure to GDP. (Girouard & Andre, 2006, Devarajan et al., 2013)
- For micro approaches, microsimulation modelling is employed. (Auerbach & Feenberg (2000); Kniesner & Ziliak (2002); Doorley et al. (2021)).
- Minimal work in developing economies. (Gasior et al. (2022))
- Existing studies covering shocks do no cover social protection whiles those covering social protection are silent on shocks.

Deriving Automatic Stabilizers

Deriving Automatic Stabilizers

- Based on Dolls, Fuest, & Peichl (2012); Dolls et al. (2020) and Doorley et al (2021). Impact on shocks depends on cushioning impacts and income links to consumption demand.
- Define automatic stabilization in three ways.
 - The stabilization of disposable income (τ^I) =► (Gross income and Informality shock)
 - Provide the stabilization of demand (τ^C) =► (Consumption shock and Liquidity constraints)
 - The stabilization of poverty/inequality (τ^P) =► (Gross income and Informality shock)

Formulas

Formulas

• Income Stabilization Coefficient

$$\tau^{I} = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i} \Delta Y_{i}^{D}}{\sum_{i} \Delta Y_{i}^{M}} = \frac{\sum_{i} \left(\Delta Y_{i}^{M} - \Delta Y_{i}^{D} \right)}{\sum_{i} \Delta Y_{i}^{M}} = \frac{\sum_{i} \Delta G_{i}}{\sum_{i} \Delta Y_{i}^{M}}$$
(1)

• Demand Stabilization Coefficient

$$\tau^C = 1 - \frac{\sum_i \Delta L_i^{CH}}{\sum_i \Delta Y_i^M} \tag{2}$$

Poverty Stabilization Coefficient

$$\tau^{P} = 1 - \frac{\Delta P_{i}\left(Y^{D}\right)}{\Delta P_{i}\left(Y^{M}\right)} \tag{3}$$

Post-fiscal welfare used metric can be income or consumption based.

Adu-Ababio

Discretionary Action

Discretionary Action

- Four scenarios to consider when there is an income or demand shock amid existence or absence of tax-benefit policies.
 - With/Without government intervention in status quo and
 - With/Without government intervention in crisis.
- These scenarios reveal the policy impacts within each country.
- If a country does not perform with existing policies, counterfactual policies are introduced.

Income, Demand & Poverty Coefficients

Income, Demand & Poverty Coefficients

Ghana	South Africa	Ecuador
0.012	0.220	0.103
0.036	0.083	0.117
0.049	-	0.050
0.038	0.249	0.011
0.00	0.251	0.045
0.008	0.478	0.464
0.00	0.143	0.00
	0.012 0.036 0.049 0.038 0.00 0.008	0.012 0.220 0.036 0.083 0.049 - 0.038 0.249 0.00 0.251 0.008 0.478

Table: Income, Demand and Poverty Stabilization Coefficients

Source: author's computation based on GHAMOD, SAMOD, and ECUAMOD 2023.

Income, Demand & Poverty Coefficients

Ghana	South Africa	Ecuador
0.012	0.220	0.103
0.036	0.083	0.117
0.049	-	0.050
0.038	0.249	0.011
0.00	0.251	0.045
0.008	0.478	0.464
0.00	0.143	0.00
	0.012 0.036 0.049 0.038 0.00 0.008	0.012 0.220 0.036 0.083 0.049 - 0.038 0.249 0.00 0.251 0.008 0.478

Table: Income, Demand and Poverty Stabilization Coefficients

Source: author's computation based on GHAMOD, SAMOD, and ECUAMOD 2023.

- For gross income shocks, income stabilization is best in SA (22%)
- The impacts on informality is evident. When dominant, income stabilization rises (Ghana-3.6% and Ecuador-12%). When subservient, income stabilization falls (South Africa-8.3%).

Adu-Ababio

Results on Discretionary Action for Ghana

Results on Discretionary Action for Ghana

Table: Income stress test redistributive results for Ghana

Scenarios	Switch	Baseline	Income shock	$\Delta P_i(Y^j)$
Fiscal	On	55.86	57.03	1.17
FISCAI	Off	55.34	56.52	1.18
$Policy \text{ impact } (\tau^p)$	0.01			

Source: author's computation based on GHAMOD 2023.

- Post-fiscal poverty headcount increases amid shocks
- Results show that existing policies in Ghana cushion only a 1% income shock.
- Increase in vulnerability when there is a shock.
- Fiscal impoverishment evident for market incomes.
- Counterfactual policies improve the coefficients. Counterfactuals .

Results on Discretionary Action for South Africa

Results on Discretionary Action for South Africa

Table:	Income	stress	test	redistributive	results	for South
Africa						

Scenarios	Switch	Baseline	Income shock	$\Delta P_i(Y^j)$
Fiscal	On	33.67	34.25	0.58
FISCAI	Off	46.40	47.51	1.11
$Policy \text{ impact } (\tau^p)$	0.48			

Source: author's computation based on SAMOD 2023.

- There is increase in poverty headcount due to shock to employment income.
- Counterfactual government action has a bigger effect on the reducing impact of the shock
- No fiscal impoverishment as vulnerability is higher with no tax-benefit policies.
- 48% cushioning effect of overall shock.

Results on Discretionary Action for Ecuador

Results on Discretionary Action for Ecuador

Table: Income stress test redistributive results for Ecuador

Scenarios	Switch	Baseline	Income shock	$\Delta P_i(Y^j)$
Fiscal	On	14.26	15.38	1.12
LIPCAL	Off	12.84	14.93	2.09
$Policy \text{ impact } (\tau^p)$	0.46			

Source: author's computation based on ECUAMOD 2023.

- Social assistance is relatively effective.
- Counterfactual government action has a better effect on the reducing impact of the shock than in Ghana
- Fiscal impoverishment as vulnerability is lower with no tax-benefit policies.
- 46% cushioning effect of overall shock.

Discussion

Results Discussion

Discussion

Results Discussion

- The study compares coefficients from gross income shocks to the EU and US.
- SA's social protection stabilization compares favorably to developed countries amid shocks to gross incomes.
- In all economies taxes and social security contributions carry much weight than benefits (except SA).
- Although not close to EU and US, stabilization from benefits for GH and EC improve when shocks are informality related. 3.6% & 12%.
- The cost of improving the Ghana inform the size of overhaul needed to restructure tax-benefit policies in the country.

Conclusions

Conclusions and Policy Recommendations

Conclusions

Conclusions and Policy Recommendations

- Automatic stabilization varies and the size of informality plays a role for developing economies.
- Income and demand stabilization continues to remain high for SA.
- Consumption based welfare measures show how noisy income data can be in developing economies.
- Social protection policy swaps and expansion improves the Ghana case but at a high cost.



Appreciation

Many thanks for your attention. Questions, Comments welcome: kwabena.adu-ababio@helsinki.fi

Summary of Models

B

	(1)	(2)	(3)
	GHAMOD	SAMOD	ECUAMOD
Characteristic	v.2.4	v.7.0	v.1.5
Input data	GLSS-7*	LCS-7**	EIGHUR
Input data source	Ghana	Statistics	Instituo Nacional
	Statistical Service	SA	de Estadisticas y Censos
Welfare metric	Consumption	Income	Consumption
	based	based	based
Policy years	2013-19	2014–19	2011-19
Safety nets	LEAP, School	Care Dependency,	Human Development Transfe
	Capitation Grant,	Grant in Aid,	Joaquín Gallegos Lara Transfe
	Free SHS Grant	Child Support Grant,	Housing Grant
		Foster Child Grant,	
		Old Age Grant, Disability Grant	
		Disability Grant	
Sample	58,864	88,906	153,341
	individuals	individuals	individuals
Households	14,009	23,380	39,617

Table: GH, SA & EC Microsimulation Models

Note: * Ghana Living Standards Survey Round 7. ** Living Conditions Survey Round 7.

Source: author's compilation.		
Adu-Ababio	Microsimulation, Shocks, Safety Nets	

Improving the Ghana Case

Table: Discretionary action to improve income shock cushioning

Scenarios	Switch	Baseline	Income shock	$\Delta P_i(Y^j)$
Fiscal	On	52.35	53.34	0.99
FISCAL	Off	55.34	56.52	1.18
$Policy \text{ impact } (\tau^p)$	0.16			

Source: author's computation based on GHAMOD 2023.

- By introducing additional policies the study improves the income cushion in Ghana.
- Additional safety nets reduce income poverty by 3.5% without shocks and 3.7% amid shocks.
- 16% cushioning effect of overall income shock (19% for demand shock).
- Increased budget expenditure of GHS3,667 (\$460) million is about 1.8% of nominal GDP (11% of

total tax revenue). Back

References I

- Mathias Dolls, Clemens Fuest, and Andreas Peichl.
 - Automatic stabilizers and economic crisis: Us vs. europe. Journal of Public Economics, 96(3-4):279–294, 2012.
- Mathias Dolls, Clemens Fuest, Andreas Peichl, and Christian Wittneben.
 - Fiscal consolidation and automatic stabilization: New results. <u>IMF Economic Review</u>, 70(3):420–450, 2022.
 - Olivier Bargain.
 - Decomposition analysis of distributive policies using behavioural simulations.
 - International Tax and Public Finance, 19(5):708–731, 2012.

References II

Ravi Kanbur.

Protecting the poor against the next crisis.

Technical report, 2010.

Gemma Wright, Michael Noble, Helen Barnes, David McLennan, and Michell Mpike.

Samod, a south african tax-benefit microsimulation model: recent developments.

Technical report, WIDER Working Paper, 2016.

References III

Kwabena Adu-Ababio, Darko Robert Osei, Jukka Pirttilä, and Pia Rattenhuber.

Southmod country report: Ghamod v1.0, 2013-16, unu-wider southmod country report series. Helsinki: UNU-WIDER, 2017.

Vidar Christiansen, Zhiyang Jia, and Thor O Thoresen. Assessing income tax perturbations.

International Tax and Public Finance, 29(2):472–504, 2022.

Nathalie Girouard and Christophe André.

Measuring cyclically-adjusted budget balances for oecd countries. Available at SSRN 2005002, 2006.

References IV



World Bank.

Sub-saharan africa macro poverty outlook.

Technical report, International Bank for Reconstruction and Development/The World Bank Washington, DC, 2020.

World Bank.

Macro poverty outlook for south africa.

2017.

LA Kasekende, Léonce Ndikumana, and Taoufik Rajhi.

Impact of the global financial and economic crisis on Africa.

African Development Bank, 2009.

References V

Alan J Auerbach and Daniel R Feenberg.

The significance of federal taxes as automatic stabilizers. Journal of Economic Perspectives, 14(3):37–56, 2000.

Tessa Bold, Kayuki C Kaizzi, Jakob Svensson, and David Yanagizawa-Drott.

Lemon technologies and adoption: measurement, theory and evidence from agricultural markets in uganda.

The Quarterly Journal of Economics, 132(3):1055–1100, 2017.

GSS.

Ghana living standards survey round 7 (glss 7): Poverty trends in ghana 2005–2017, 2018.

References VI

Robert Osei, Jukka Olavi Pirttilä, and Pia Rattenhuber.

Quantifying the impacts of expanding social protection on efficiency and equity: Evidence from a behavioral microsimulation model for ghana.

The international journal of microsimulation, 2019.

Dennis Olson and Taisier Zoubi.

Convergence in bank performance for commercial and islamic banks during and after the global financial crisis.

The Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance, 65:71-87, 2017.

References VII

Kamila Sommer and Paul Sullivan.

Implications of us tax policy for house prices, rents, and homeownership.

American Economic Review, 108(2):241-74, 2018.

Thomas J Kniesner and James P Ziliak.

Tax reform and automatic stabilization.

American Economic Review, 92(3):590–612, 2002.

Ben S Bernanke.

The real effects of disrupted credit: evidence from the global financial crisis.

Brookings Papers on Economic Activity, 2018(2):251-342, 2018.

References VIII

Kathleen Beegle, Aline Coudouel, and Emma Monsalve.
 Realizing the full potential of social safety nets in Africa.
 The World Bank, 2018.

Stephen P Zeldes.

Consumption and liquidity constraints: an empirical investigation. Journal of political economy, 97(2):305–346, 1989.

Shantayanan Devarajan, Delfin S Go, Maryla Maliszewska, Israel Osorio-Rodarte, and Hans Timmer.

Stress-testing Africa's recent growth and poverty performance.

The World Bank, 2013.

References IX

Javier Andrés, Rafael Doménech, and Antonio Fatás.

The stabilizing role of government size.

Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control, 32(2):571–593, 2008.

Alan J Auerbach.

Implementing the new fiscal policy activism. American Economic Review, 99(2):543–49, 2009.

Deborah Mabbett and Waltraud Schelkle.

Bringing macroeconomics back into the political economy of reform: the lisbon agenda and the 'fiscal philosophy'of emu.

JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies, 45(1):81–103, 2007.

References X

Carlo Del Ninno and Bradford Mills.

Safety nets in Africa: Effective mechanisms to reach the poor and most vulnerable.

The World Bank, 2015.

Kwabena Adu-Ababio and Robert Darko Osei.

Effects of an education reform on household poverty and inequality: A microsimulation analysis on the free senior high school policy in ghana.

Technical report, WIDER Working Paper, 2018.

Stephen Devereux.

Can social safety nets reduce chronic poverty?

Development Policy Review, 20(5):657-675, 2002.

References XI

IMF.

World economic outlook database.

Washington DC: International Monetary Fund, 2019.

CIA.

The World Factbook: Africa: Ghana, 2020.

Retrieved February 16, 2020, from

https://www.cia.gov/library/publications/resources/the-world-factbook/geos/gh.html.

References XII

CIA.

The World Factbook: Africa: South Africa, 2020. Retrieved February 16, 2020, from https://www.cia.gov/library/publications/resources/the-worldfactbook/geos/sf.html.

CIA.

The World Factbook: South America: Ecuador, 2020. Retrieved November 9, 2020, from https://www.cia.gov/library/publications/resources/the-worldfactbook/geos/ec.html.

References XIII

Kehinde Oluwaseun Omotoso and Steven F Koch.

Exploring child poverty and inequality in post-apartheid south africa: a multidimensional perspective.

Journal of Poverty and Social Justice, 26(3):417–437, 2018.

- François Bourguignon and Amedeo Spadaro.
 Microsimulation as a tool for evaluating redistribution policies.
 <u>The Journal of Economic Inequality</u>, 4(1):77–106, 2006.
- Tullio Jappelli and Luigi Pistaferri.

The consumption response to income changes.

Annual Review of Economics, 2:479-506, 2010.

References XIV

Tullio Jappelli, Jörn-Steffen Pischke, and Nicholas S Souleles. Testing for liquidity constraints in euler equations with complementary

data sources.

Review of Economics and statistics, 80(2):251-262, 1998.

Markus Jäntti, Jukka Pirttilä, and Risto Rönkkö.

The determinants of redistribution around the world.

Review of Income and Wealth, 66(1):59–73, 2020.

Katrin Gasior, Chrysa Leventi, Michael Noble, Gemma Wright, and Helen Barnes.

The distributional impact of tax and benefit systems in five african countries.



International Journal of Sociology and Social Policy, 42(1/2):92–105, 2022.

Nora Lustig.

Commitment to equity handbook: Estimating the impact of fiscal

policy on inequality and poverty.

Brookings Institution Press, 2018.

Kwabena Adu-Ababio, Robert Darko Osei, Jukka Pirttilä, and Pia Rattenhuber.

Southmod country report: Ghamod v2.0, 2013-19, unu-wider

southmod country report series.

Helsinki: UNU-WIDER, 2020.



H. Xavier Jara and Lourdes Montesdeoca.

Unu-wider southmod country report: Ecuamod v1.5, 2011-2019, unu-wider southmod country report series. Helsinki: UNU-WIDER, 2020.

Philipp Horn and Jean Grugel.

The sdgs in middle-income countries: Setting or serving domestic development agendas? evidence from ecuador.

World Development, 109:73-84, 2018.

References XVII



Olaniyi Evans.

Connecting the poor: the internet, mobile phones and financial inclusion in africa.

Digital Policy, Regulation and Governance, 2018.

Carla Canelas.

Informality and poverty in ecuador.

Small Business Economics, 53(4):1097–1115, 2019.

Carla Canelas.

Minimum wage and informality in ecuador.

Technical report, Wider Working Paper, 2014.

References XVIII

Tim Goedemé, Karel Van den Bosch, Lina Salanauskaite, and Gerlinde Verbist.

Testing the statistical significance of microsimulation results: A plea. International Journal of Microsimulation, 6(3):50–77, 2013.

Martin Biewen and Stephen P Jenkins.

Variance estimation for generalized entropy and atkinson inequality indices: the complex survey data case.

Oxford bulletin of economics and statistics, 68(3):371-383, 2006.

References XIX

Stephen Pudney and Holly Sutherland.

How reliable are microsimulation results?: An analysis of the role of sampling error in a uk tax-benefit model.

Journal of Public Economics, 53(3):327-365, 1994.

Xavier Sala-i Martin and Jeffrey Sachs.

Fiscal federalism and optimum currency areas: evidence for europe from the united states.

Technical report, National Bureau of Economic Research, 1991.

Kathleen Beegle, Luc Christiaensen, Andrew Dabalen, and Isis Gaddis. Poverty in a rising Africa.

The World Bank, 2016.

References XX

Peter Spahn.

Stephany griffith-jones, josé antonio ocampo and joseph e. stiglitz (eds): Time for a visible hand-lessons from the 2008 world financial crisis, 2012.

Hilary Hoynes and Jesse Rothstein.

Universal basic income in the united states and advanced countries. Annual Review of Economics, 11:929–958, 2019.

Dennis Egger, Johannes Haushofer, Edward Miguel, Paul Niehaus, and Michael W Walker.

General equilibrium effects of cash transfers: experimental evidence from kenya.

Technical report, National Bureau of Economic Research, 2019.

References XXI

Serhat Yüksel.

Determinants of the credit risk in developing countries after economic crisis: A case of turkish banking sector.

In <u>Global financial crisis and its ramifications on capital markets</u>, pages 401–415. Springer, 2017.

Abdul-Gafaru Abdulai, Abdul-Bassit Abubakari, and Jude Martey.
 Is social protection in ghana a right?
 <u>Development in Practice</u>, 29(8):1064–1074, 2019.



Miguel Niño-Zarazúa, Armando Barrientos, Samuel Hickey, and David Hulme.

Social protection in sub-saharan africa: Getting the politics right. World development, 40(1):163–176, 2012.

References XXII

Eric W Bond, James Tybout, and Hale Utar.

Credit rationing, risk aversion, and industrial evolution in developing countries.

International Economic Review, 56(3):695-722, 2015.

Abhijit V Banerjee.

Contracting constraints, credit markets and economic development. Credit Markets and Economic Development (September 2001), 2001.

Pascaline Dupas, Anthony Keats, and Jonathan Robinson.

The effect of savings accounts on interpersonal financial relationships: Evidence from a field experiment in rural kenya.

The Economic Journal, 129(617):273-310, 2019.

References XXIII

Alari Paulus and Iva Valentinova Tasseva.

Europe through the crisis: Discretionary policy changes and automatic stabilizers.

Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics, 82(4):864-888, 2020.

Karina Doorley, Tim Callan, and Michael Savage.

What drove income inequality in eu crisis countries during the great recession?

Fiscal Studies, 42(2):319-343, 2021.

References XXIV

Maria Jouste and Pia Rattenhuber.

A role for universal pension? simulating universal pensions in ecuador, ghana, tanzania, and south africa.

Technical report, WIDER Working Paper, 2018.

Olivier Bargain and Tim Callan.

Analysing the effects of tax-benefit reforms on income distribution: a decomposition approach.

The Journal of Economic Inequality, 8(1):1–21, 2010.



Tax-benefit microsimulation and income redistribution in ecuador. International Journal of Microsimulation, 12(1):52–82, 2019.

References XXV

Audrey Berry.

The distributional effects of a carbon tax and its impact on fuel poverty: A microsimulation study in the french context.

Energy Policy, 124:81-94, 2019.

Jekaterina Navicke.

Factors of the income inequality in the baltics: Income, policy, demography.

Technical report, EUROMOD Working Paper, 2017.



Fiscal impoverishment in rich democracies.

Technical report, LIS Working Paper Series, 2022.





Sean Higgins and Nora Lustig.

Can a poverty-reducing and progressive tax and transfer system hurt the poor?

Journal of Development Economics, 122:63-75, 2016.